2025/05/10 14:34 1/2 blue group

Blue Group on 'Publications and the role of co-authors'

Resources:

Please use the information provided in the 'Good Scientific Practice' section.

Questions:

- 1. When does a scientist become a co- author?
- 2. What is an honorary authorship?
- 3. Who decides about authorships on a paper? When?
- 4. a) Is it likely that you will have co-authors in parts of your dissertation?
- b) Imagine that you will have first-author paper with 3 co-authors as a part of your dissertation. Why do you think the doctoral office (Promotionsbüro) asks to indicate which part of the work for this paper you have done?
- 5. You need auxiliary data for your model runs / to support your measurement results and get it from a colleague. Should this person become a co-author? Why (not)?
- 6. Read the following real-life story:

The reviewer of a manuscript contacts the last co-author on the manuscript directly after finishing his/her review:

"Dear [last co-author on manuscript],

I just finished a review of a manuscript to [journal] your name was on, which left me uneasy. The manuscript was out of character compared to the work of yours I know and appreciate. I send you the review (attached) so that you know first-hand what are my problems with the manuscript. I simply hope you didn't have the time to go through the manuscript in detail before it was submitted by [first author]. Just let me know what your thoughts are. Thank you.

Best wishes, [reviewer] "

The answer came swiftly:

"Hi [reviewer], Thanks for bringing this to my attention. Frankly I am shocked, as I had not seen this manuscript nor was I even aware that it existed. I did some (...) analyses for [second author] and his student [first author], but never heard any feedback from them after sending [second author] a summary of the results. Thus I have no idea what the implications of my data might be, or whether they've been interpreted reasonably.

Obviously this is a serious matter that gives me a very bad taste. I appreciate your letting me know. I would have been extremely pissed off to see it for the first time printed in [journal]! Regards, [last co-author on manuscript]"

- a) What went wrong?
- b) What should [first author] have done?
- c) What should [second author] have done?
- d) Do you agree with the reviewer's action?

From:

https://wiki.uni-koeln.de/!gsgs/ - Graduate School of Geosciences

https://wiki.uni-koeln.de/!gsgs/doku.php?id=start:induction_module:blue_group&rev=1619712086

Last update: 2021/04/29 18:01

