

Pink Group on 'the Review Process'

Resources:

Nicholas and Gordon, 2011. A Quick Guide to Writing a Solid Peer Review Eos, 92(28), 233-234.

<https://doi.org/10.1029/2011EO280001>

Plus the information provided in the 'Good Scientific Practice' section of the wiki.

Questions:

1. Which major steps does the publication process of a scientific paper contain?
2. When do you accept to perform a review?
3. Why would you accept to perform a review?
4. What are your obligations as a reviewer?
5. How is plagiarism discovered?

(if time allows)

- 6 a) Wouldn't it be better to drop the peer-review process altogether?
- b) What would be an alternative?

From:

<https://wiki.uni-koeln.de/!gsgs/> - Graduate School of Geosciences

Permanent link:

https://wiki.uni-koeln.de/!gsgs/doku.php?id=start:induction_module:pink_group&rev=1619713802

Last update: **2021/04/29 18:30**

